arXiv:1407.4285v1 [math.CO] 16 Jul 2014

SPECTRAL RADIUS MINUS AVERAGE DEGREE: A BETTER BOUND

FELIX GOLDBERG

Abstract. Collatz and Sinogowitz had proposed to measure the departure of a graph G from regularity by the difference of the (adjacency) spectral radius and the average degree: $\epsilon(G) = \rho(G) - \frac{2m}{n}$. We give here new lower bounds on this quantity, which improve upon the currently known ones.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Motivation.** Let G be a graph that has n vertices and m edges. The *average degree* is $\overline{d} = \frac{2m}{n}$. Suppose now that G has adjacency matrix A and let us denote its spectral radius (*i.e.* the largest modulus of an eigenvalue) by ρ. A classic 1957 result of Collatz and Sinogowitz [7] is:

Theorem 1. [7] *Let G be a graph with average degree $\overline{d}$ and spectral radius ρ. Then*

$$\rho \geq \overline{d}$$

and equality holds if and only if G is regular.

Theorem 1 has served as the departure point for several interesting inquiries. As one particularly impressive recent example we may mention the independent discovery by Babai and Guiduli [4] and by Nikiforov [17] of a spectral counterpart to the classic Kővari-Sós-Turán [13] bound for the Zarankiewicz problem.

Another point of view inspired by Theorem 1 is to consider the difference

$$\epsilon(G) = \rho - \overline{d}$$

as a measure for the irregularity of the graph G. This irregularity measure has been studied by various authors [3, 5, 6, 9, 15, 16].

Date: July 15, 2013.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C07,05C50,15A42,26D20,26D15.

Key words and phrases. irregularity, adjacency matrix, average degree, spectral radius.

This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant number 862/10.)

1.2. **A brief digression about irregularity measures.** The simplest irregularity measure is that provided by the difference of the maximum and minimum degree (denoted, by Δ and δ, respectively):

$$\Delta - \delta.$$

Though very simply defined and thus perhaps considered by some as too crude to be of use,this measure is actually quite useful in some contexts (cf. [20] for an example).

Let us now introduce yet another irregularity measure, the variance of degrees:

$$\mathrm{var}(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \left(d_u - \frac{2m}{n} \right)^2.$$

Bell [5] compares $\epsilon(G)$ and $\mathrm{var}(G)$ for various classes of graphs.

We wish to remark that the following relationship between $\Delta - \delta$ and $\mathrm{var}(G)$ is easily established by applying inequalities due to Popoviciu (cf. [18, (1.4)]) and Nagy (cf. [18, (1.5)]):

$$\frac{(\Delta - \delta)^2}{2n} \leq \mathrm{var}(G) \leq \frac{(\Delta - \delta)^2}{4}. \tag{1}$$

The upper bound in (1) has also been observed in [8, p. 62].

For more alternative notions of graph irregularity we refer the interested reader to [1, 2, 8].

1.3. **Main result.** Our purpose in this paper is to improve the extant lower bounds for $\epsilon(G)$, using rather elementary methods. The best bound to be found in the literature is due to Nikiforov [15]:

Theorem 2. [15] *For every graph G,*

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)}{\sqrt{8m}}. \tag{2}$$

For example, as can be easily asscertained using (1), it implies the following bound obtained by Cioabă and Gregory in [6]:

Corollary 1. [6, Corollary 3] *For every graph G,*

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{(\Delta - \delta)^2}{4n\Delta}.$$

We shall prove, using elementary methods, the following new bound:

Theorem 3. *For every graph G,*

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{8m\Delta}}. \tag{3}$$

As $n > \Delta$, the new bound of (3) is always strictly better than (2).

2. Subregular graphs

There is one very special case which merits separate treatment.

Definition 1. [16] *Let G be a graph with $\Delta - \delta = 1$. If there is either exactly one vertex of degree Δ or exactly one vertex of degree $\Delta - 1$, then G is called* subregular.

Clearly, subregular graphs are very close to being regular. We will find it convenient to distinguish between their two varieties thus:

Definition 2. *Let G be a subregular graph.*

- *If there is exactly one vertex of degree Δ, G is* high subregular.
- *If there is exactly one vertex of degree $\Delta - 1$, G is* low subregular.

For subregular graphs the bounds discussed so far yield estimates which are far too pessimistic. However, there is another bound due to Cioabă and Gregory [6] which performs better in this case.

Theorem 4. [6]

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{1}{n(\Delta + 2)}. \tag{4}$$

We will prove:

Theorem 5. *Let G be a connected subregular graph on $n \geq 7$ vertices and with maximum degree Δ. Then:*

- *If G is high subregular, then:*

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{n^2 - 2n + 3}{n^3 \Delta}. \tag{5}$$

- *If G is low subregular, then:*

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{2n^2 - 4n - 3}{2n^3(\Delta - 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta})}. \tag{6}$$

Example 1. *Consider the high subregular graph G depicted in Figure 1. We have the following lower bounds for $\epsilon(G)$:*

$\epsilon(G)$	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
0.0461	*0.0137*	*0.0209*	*0.0286*	*0.0364*

3. Proof of Therem 3

We begin by collecting a number of lemmae.



FIGURE 1. A subregular graph, $n = 7, \Delta = 3$

Lemma 1 (Hofmeister [10])**.**

$$\rho \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2}.$$

Lemma 2.

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2} \geq \frac{2m}{n}.$$

Proof. Cauchy-Shwarz. □

Lemma 3. [15, p. 352]

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 - \left(\frac{2m}{n}\right)^2 = \mathrm{var}(G).$$

Let $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the adjacency matrix and the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees, respectively, of G. Then $Q(G) = A(G) + D(G)$ is called the *signless Laplacian matrix*. The following claim is stated by Liu and Liu [14] only for connected graphs but in fact their proof does not use the connectedness assumption.

Lemma 4. [14, Theorem 2.1]*Let G be a graph. If ρ is the spectral radius of $Q(G)$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 \leq m\rho.$$

Lemma 5. [14, Lemma 2.4]*Let G be a graph. If ρ is the spectral radius of $Q(G)$, then*

$$\rho \leq 2\Delta.$$

We can now easily deduce:

Lemma 6. *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 \leq 2m\Delta.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. First of all, in light of Lemma 1 and 2 we have:

$$\epsilon(G) = \rho(G) - \frac{2m}{n} \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2} - \frac{2m}{n} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 - (\frac{2m}{n})^2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2} + \frac{2m}{n}}.$$

Now apply Lemma 3 and then Lemma 2 once more:

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 - (\frac{2m}{n})^2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2} + \frac{2m}{n}} = \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2} + \frac{2m}{n}} \geq \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2}}.$$

Finally, use Lemma 6:

$$\epsilon(G) \geq \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2}} \geq \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)}{2\sqrt{\frac{2m\Delta}{n}}} = \frac{\mathrm{var}(G)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{8m\Delta}}.$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 5

Our approach will be similar to that taken in the proof of Theorem 3 but instead of Hofmeister's bound for ρ we shall need a more powerful one, due to Yu, Lu, and Tian [19]. To state it, we define the 2-*degree* t_i of the vertex v_i as the sum of the degress of the vertices adjacent to v_i. That is:

$$t_i = \sum_{j \sim i} d_j.$$

Lemma 7. [19] *Let G be a connected graph. Then,*

$$\rho \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2}} \geq \overline{d}.$$

Lemma 8. *Let G be a high subregular graph on n vertices and with maximum degree Δ. Then $\Delta \leq n-2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Delta = n - 1$. Then we have that all vertices but one are of degree $n - 1$. But this means that the remaining vertex has $n - 1$ neigbours as well. This is a contradiction. □

Lemma 9. [12] *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and m edges, with maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ. Then,*

$$\rho \leq \frac{\delta - 1 + \sqrt{(\delta + 1)^2 + 4(2m - \delta n)}}{2}.$$

Corollary 2. *Let G be a connected low subregular graph with maximum degree Δ. Then*

$$\rho \leq \Delta - 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 9 we have

$$\rho \leq \frac{\Delta - 2 + \sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4}}{2}.$$

Our conclusion follows by observing that $\Delta^2 + 4 \leq (\Delta + \frac{2}{\Delta})^2$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.

Case: *G is high subregular*

Let v_1 be the single vertex of degree $\Delta - 1$ and let $v_2, \ldots, v_\Delta$ be its neighbours. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= \Delta(\Delta - 1), \\ t_i &= \Delta^2 - 1, \qquad 2 \leq i \leq \Delta, \\ t_i &= \Delta^2, \qquad\quad \Delta + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 7 we get:

$$\rho \geq \sqrt{\frac{\Delta^2(\Delta - 1)^2 + (\Delta - 1)(\Delta^2 - 1)^2 + (n - \Delta)\Delta^4}{(\Delta - 1)^2 + (n - 1)\Delta^2}} = \\ = \sqrt{\frac{n\Delta^4 - 4\Delta^3 + 3\Delta^2 + \Delta - 1}{n\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 1}}.$$

The average degree in this case is:

$$\overline{d} = \Delta - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Consider now the following quantity:

$$L(n, \Delta) = \frac{n\Delta^4 - 4\Delta^3 + 3\Delta^2 + \Delta - 1}{n\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 1} - \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2.$$

Algebraic manipulation yields:

$$L(n,\Delta)=\frac{(2\Delta^2+\Delta-1)n^2+(2\Delta-5\Delta^2)n+2\Delta-1}{n^2(n\Delta^2-2\Delta+1)}.$$

This expression is hardly manageable, but it simplifies dramatically upon observing that $L(n,\Delta)$ is a non-increasing function of Δ (this is verified by taking the partial derivative with respect to Δ, we omit the simple but tedious details). Therefore, using Lemma 8 we have:

$$L(n,\Delta)\geq L(n,n-2)=\frac{2n^4-12n^3+27n^2-22n-5}{n^5-4n^4+2n^3+5n^2}\geq\frac{1}{n^2}(2n-4+\frac{6}{n}).$$

Now we can complete the argument, using the well-known fact that $\Delta\geq\rho$:

$$\epsilon(G)=\rho-\overline{d}=\frac{\rho^2-\overline{d}^2}{\rho+\overline{d}}\geq\frac{L(n,\Delta)}{\rho+\overline{d}}\geq\frac{\frac{1}{n^2}(2n-4+\frac{6}{n})}{2\Delta}=\frac{n^2-2n+3}{n^3\Delta}.$$

Case: *G is low subregular*

As before, let v_1 be the single vertex of degree Δ. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_1=\Delta(\Delta-1),\\
&t_i=\Delta^2-2\Delta+2, \qquad 2\leq i\leq\Delta+1,\\
&t_i=(\Delta-1)^2, \qquad\quad \Delta+2\leq i\leq n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\rho\geq\sqrt{\frac{\Delta^2(\Delta-1)^2+\Delta(\Delta^2-2\Delta+2)^2+(n-\Delta-1)(\Delta-1)^4}{\Delta^2+(\Delta-1)^2(n-1)}}=$$

$$=\sqrt{\frac{n\Delta^4-(4n-4)\Delta^3+(6n-9)\Delta^2-(4n-7)\Delta+n-1}{n\Delta^2-(2n-2)\Delta+n-1}}.$$

Keeping in mind that $\overline{d}=\Delta-1+\frac{1}{n}$ we define $L(n,\Delta)$ to be:

$$L(n,\Delta)=\frac{n\Delta^4-(4n-4)\Delta^3+(6n-9)\Delta^2-(4n-7)\Delta+n-1}{n\Delta^2-(2n-2)\Delta+n-1}-$$

$$-\Big(\Delta-1+\frac{1}{n}\Big)^2.$$

After simplification we get:

$$L(n,\Delta)=\frac{(2\Delta^2-3\Delta+2)n^2-(5\Delta^2-8\Delta+3)n-2\Delta+1}{(\Delta^2-2\Delta+1)n^3+(2\Delta-1)n^2}.$$

This function is also non-increasing with respect to Δ and thus we have:

$$L(n,\Delta) \geq L(n,n-1) = \frac{2n^3-10n^2+15n-3}{n^2(n^2-3n+3)} \geq \frac{1}{n^2}(2n-4-\frac{3}{n}).$$

To complete the argument we resort to Corollary 2:

$$\epsilon(G) = \rho - \overline{d} = \frac{\rho^2-\overline{d}^2}{\rho+\overline{d}} \geq \frac{L(n,\Delta)}{\rho+\overline{d}} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{n^2}(2n-4-\frac{3}{n})}{2\rho} = \frac{2n^2-4n-3}{2n^3(\Delta-1+\frac{1}{\Delta})}.$$

□

5. ADDENDUM

Hong [11] raises the following problem (Problem 3 in his list):

Question 1. *Let G be the graph with the smallest value of $\epsilon(G)$ among non-regular graphs with n vertices and m edges. Is is true that $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) = 1$?*

We remark that Bell [5] has solved the problem of determining the graph with n vertices and m edges that has *maximal* $\epsilon(G)$.

References

[1] Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, F. R. K. Chung, P. Erdös, R. L. Graham, and O. R. Oellermann. Highly irregular graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 11(2):235–249, 1987.

[2] M. O. Albertson. The irregularity of a graph. *Ars Comb.*, 46:219–225, 1997.

[3] M. Aouchiche, F. K. Bell, D. Cvetković, P. Hansen, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, and D. Stevanović. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. XVI. Some conjectures related to the largest eigenvalue of a graph. *European J. Oper. Res.*, 191:661–676, 2008.

[4] L. Babai and B. Guiduli. Spectral extrema for graphs: the Zarankiewicz problem. *Electron. J. Comb.*, 16(1):R123, 2009.

[5] F. K. Bell. Eigenvalues and degree deviation in graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 161:45–54, 1992.

[6] S. M. Cioabă and D. A. Gregory. Large matchings from eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 422(1):308–317, 2007.

[7] L. Collatz and U. Sinogowitz. Spekter endlicher Grafen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 21:63–77, 1957.

[8] C. Elphick and P. Wocjan. New measures of graph irregularity. *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.*, 2(1):52–65, 2014.

[9] F. Goldberg. New results on eigenvalues and degree deviation. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2629`, 2014.

[10] M. Hofmeister. Spectral radius and degree sequence. *Math. Nachr.*, 139:37–44, 1988.

[11] Y. Hong. Bounds of eigenvalues of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 123(1–3):65–74, 1993.

[12] Y. Hong, J.-L. Shu, and K. Fang. A sharp upper bound of the spectral radius of graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 81(2):177–183, 2001.
[13] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós, and P. Turán. On a problem of K.Zarankiewicz. *Colloq. Math.*, 3:50–57, 1954.
[14] M. Liu and B. Liu. New sharp upper bounds for the first Zagreb index. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, 62(3):689–698, 2009.
[15] V. Nikiforov. Eigenvalues and degree deviation in graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 414(1):347–360, 2006.
[16] V. Nikiforov. Bounds on graph eigenvalues II. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 427(2–3):183–189, 2007.
[17] V. Nikiforov. A contribution to the Zarankiewicz problem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 432(6):1405–1411, 2010.
[18] R. Sharma, M. Gupta, and G. Kapoor. Some better bounds on the variance with applications. *J. Math. Inequal.*, 4(3):355–363, 2010.
[19] A. Yu, M. Lu, and F. Tian. On the spectral radius of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 387:41–49, 2004.
[20] R. Yuster. Maximum matching in regular and almost regular graphs. *Algorithmica*, 66(1):87–92, 2013.

Caesarea-Rothschild Institute, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel
E-mail address: felix.goldberg@gmail.com